

114TH CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

H. R. 2895

To amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to establish payment parity under the Medicare program for ambulatory cancer care services furnished in the hospital outpatient department and the physician office setting.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUNE 25, 2015

Mr. POMPEO (for himself and Mr. BEYER) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on Ways and Means, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned

A BILL

To amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to establish payment parity under the Medicare program for ambulatory cancer care services furnished in the hospital outpatient department and the physician office setting.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4 This Act may be cited as the “Medicare Patient Ac-
5 cess to Cancer Treatment Act of 2015”.

1 **SEC. 2. FINDINGS; SENSE OF CONGRESS.**

2 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

3 (1) The National Cancer Institute estimates
4 that approximately 14.5 million Americans with a
5 history of cancer were alive on January 1, 2014.

6 (2) About 8 million of the 14.5 million Ameri-
7 cans living with cancer are over age 65, and approxi-
8 mately half of cancer care spending is associated
9 with Medicare beneficiaries.

10 (3) National spending on cancer care in 2010
11 is estimated at \$125 billion.

12 (4) The National Cancer Institute projects that
13 the cost of cancer care in the United States in 2020
14 is expected to be \$206 billion.

15 (5) In a 2010 study, Milliman reported that in
16 2007 a cancer patient receiving chemotherapy in-
17 curred average costs of approximately \$111,000,
18 three times the cost of a coronary artery disease pa-
19 tient, and six times the cost of a diabetes patient.

20 (6) Over the last several years, the United
21 States has been touted as world leader in providing
22 high-quality cancer care.

23 (7) United States cancer survival rates are
24 higher than the average in Europe and Canada for
25 13 of 16 types of cancer.

1 (8) Until recently, over 80 percent of United
2 States cancer patients received care in the commu-
3 nity setting.

4 (9) Over the past several years, the country has
5 experienced a significant shift of outpatient cancer
6 care delivery from the physician’s office to the hos-
7 pital outpatient department.

8 (10) Reports show that over the past eight
9 years, 46 community practices have started referring
10 all of their patients elsewhere for treatment, 313 on-
11 cology office locations have closed, 149 practices
12 have merged or were acquired by a corporate entity
13 other than a hospital, and 544 oncology groups have
14 entered into an employment or professional services
15 agreement with a hospital.

16 (11) Over 1,000 clinics or practices have been
17 impacted over the last 3 years out of a population
18 of only 6,000 oncologists in community practice in
19 the United States.

20 (12) A 2013 study published by The Moran
21 Company (hereinafter referred to as the “Moran
22 study”) found that, between 2005 and 2011, there
23 was a 150-percent increase in administered chemo-
24 therapy in the hospital outpatient setting for Medi-
25 care fee-for-service beneficiaries (increasing from

1 13.5 percent in 2005 to 33.0 percent in 2011) as
2 compared to administration in physician community
3 cancer clinics.

4 (13) The Moran study found that, in 2005, al-
5 most 87 percent of Medicare patients were receiving
6 their care in the community setting, by 2011 only 67
7 percent were utilizing the community setting.

8 (14) The Moran study reports that Medicare
9 payments for chemotherapy administered in hospital
10 outpatient settings have more than tripled since
11 2005 (from \$90 million to \$300 million) while pay-
12 ments to physician community cancer clinics have
13 actually decreased by 14.5 percent.

14 (15) The Medicare physician fee schedule rate
15 in 2015 for CPT Code 96413 (Chemo, iv infusion,
16 1 hr), the most common drug administration code
17 billed by oncology practices, is \$136 but the pay-
18 ment rate for the same service under the Medicare
19 hospital outpatient prospective payment system
20 (HOPPS) fee schedule in 2012 is 100 percent high-
21 er at \$285.

22 (16) Utilization-weighted Medicare payment for
23 infusion services is approximately 96 percent higher
24 at the hospital outpatient department than in a phy-
25 sician's office.

1 (17) Medicare proposed in 2012 to pay hospital
2 outpatient departments 25 percent more for radi-
3 ation therapy services than for the same services
4 performed in physicians' offices, including a 70 per-
5 cent differential for intensity modulated radiation
6 treatment (IMRT) and a 188 percent differential for
7 stereotactic body radiation therapy delivery (SBRT).

8 (18) One-third of hospitals in the United States
9 purchase chemotherapy drugs through the section
10 340B program at a discount of up to 50 percent, re-
11 sulting in a net cost to such hospitals that typically
12 is at least 30 percent below reimbursement rate
13 (which is based on 106 percent of the average sales
14 price) for community oncologists for such drugs.

15 (19) Medicare reimburses 70 percent of hospital
16 bad debt (uncollectable coinsurance).

17 (20) According to an October 2011 Milliman
18 study, the cost of treating cancer patients is signifi-
19 cantly lower for both Medicare patients (10 percent
20 lower in copayment amounts, more than \$650 sav-
21 ings a year) and the Medicare program (14.2 per-
22 cent less, a savings of \$6,500 a year per patient)
23 when provided in community-based cancer settings
24 as compared to the same treatment in hospital out-
25 patient departments.

1 (21) The April 1, 2013, sequestration cuts to
2 Medicare allowed for a 28 percent cut to the services
3 reimbursement in Medicare part B drugs to commu-
4 nity oncologists.

5 (22) A recent Community Oncology Alliance
6 survey showed that 69 percent of practices surveyed
7 reported that patient treatment or operational
8 changes already have been made due to the seques-
9 ter cut to cancer drugs, with 49 percent of practices
10 forced to send Medicare patients elsewhere for treat-
11 ment, and 62 percent of practices reported that they
12 will be forced to send Medicare patients elsewhere
13 for treatment if the sequestration cuts stay in place
14 through July 31, 2013.

15 (23) The June 2013 report of the Medicare
16 Payment Advisory Commission highlighted the large
17 disparities in payment in outpatient settings and
18 noted that the payment variations across settings
19 should be addressed quickly due to the fact that cur-
20 rent disparities have created incentives for hospitals
21 to buy physician practices, driving up costs for the
22 Medicare program and for beneficiaries.

23 (24) In a published Meeting Brief in 2015,
24 MedPAC reaffirmed their recommendation that
25 “Medicare should begin to move towards site-neutral

1 payments where there is clear overlap in the services
2 provided”.

3 (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-
4 gress that, to ensure the future of community cancer care,
5 Medicare reimbursement should be equal for the same
6 service provided to a cancer patient regardless of whether
7 the service is delivered in the hospital outpatient depart-
8 ment or physician’s office.

9 **SEC. 3. EQUALIZING MEDICARE REIMBURSEMENT IN HOS-**
10 **PITAL OUTPATIENT DEPARTMENTS AND PHY-**
11 **SICIANS’ OFFICES FOR CANCER CARE SERV-**
12 **ICES.**

13 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1833(t) of the Social Se-
14 curity Act (42 U.S.C. 1395l(t)) is amended—

15 (1) in paragraph (2)—

16 (A) in subparagraph (G), by striking
17 “and” at the end;

18 (B) in subparagraph (H), by striking the
19 period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

20 (C) by inserting after subparagraph (H)
21 the following new subparagraph:

22 “(I) payment for covered OPD services
23 that are cancer care services (as defined in sub-
24 paragraph (B) of paragraph (18)) shall be

1 made consistent with subparagraph (A) of such
2 paragraph.”; and

3 (2) by adding at the end the following new
4 paragraph:

5 “(18) SPECIAL PAYMENT RULE FOR CANCER
6 CARE SERVICES.—

7 “(A) IN GENERAL.—In the case of cancer
8 care services that are furnished on or after Jan-
9 uary 1, 2016, the payment amount for such
10 services under this subsection and under section
11 1848 shall be a budget neutral combination (as
12 determined by the Secretary) of—

13 “(i) the amount otherwise payable
14 under this subsection for such services;
15 and

16 “(ii) the amount otherwise payable
17 under section 1848 for such services.

18 “(B) CANCER CARE SERVICES DEFINED.—
19 For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘can-
20 cer care services’ means covered OPD services
21 or physicians’ services for which payment is
22 made under section 1848 that are furnished in
23 conjunction with the diagnosis or treatment of
24 cancer.”.

1 (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 1848(a) of
2 Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395w-4(a)) is amended
3 by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

4 “(9) APPLICATION OF SPECIAL RULE FOR CAN-
5 CER CARE SERVICES.—In the case of physicians’
6 services that are cancer care services (as defined in
7 subparagraph (B) of section 1833(t)(18)) that are
8 furnished on or after January 1, 2016, the payment
9 amount for such services under this section shall be
10 the payment amount for such services determined
11 under subparagraph (A) of such section.”.

○